arXiv:1411.5717v1 [math-ph] 20 Nov 2014

The partition function of the extended r-reduced Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy

Marco Bertola[*,**,†], Di Yang[†]

[*] Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal
C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7

[**] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University,
1455 de Maisonneuve W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H3G 1M8

[†] SISSA, via Bonomea 265, Trieste 34136, Italy

Abstract

We derive a particular solution of the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy, which is specified by a generalized string equation. The work is a generalization to arbitrary $r \geq 2$ of Buryak's recent results of a solution to the extended open KdV hierarchy which corresponds to $r = 2$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53D45; 37K10; 37K20.
Keywords. KP hierarchy; tau function; wave function; string equation.

1 Introduction and results

Recently Pandharipande, Solomon and Tessler conjectured an integrable equation for descendent integrals for open and closed Riemann surfaces, called the open KdV equation [17] which has attracted significant attention [5, 6, 15, 2]. In particular, Buryak [5, 6] constructed an extension of this equation to a hierarchy of commuting flows, called the extended open KdV hierarchy; he also derived an explicit formula for a particular solution of the extended open KdV hierarchy which satisfies a generalized version of the string equation.

It is known that the partition function for r-spin structures of type A is a particular tau function of the r-reduced KP hierarchy [19, 11, 12]. As far as we know, the open version of these invariants (except $r = 2$, the open KdV case) has not been discovered or defined; however, we are going to construct a particular solution of the so-called extended r-reduced KP hierarchy, for which we hope it will play the role of the partition function for open r-spin structures of type A. In passing, we also present some formulæ which clarify certain series expansions of [6]. To present the result, consider the differential operator L

$$L = \partial^r + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r-1} u_\alpha(x)\, \partial^{r-1-\alpha} , \quad \partial := \partial_x, \quad r \geq 2. \tag{1.1}$$

The $(r-1)$-KdV hierarchy, also called the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy or the r-reduced KP hierarchy, is a hierarchy of evolutionary partial differential equations for the operator L in terms of infinitely

many auxiliary parameter t_m (called customarily "times")

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_m} = \alpha_m \left[\left(L^{\frac{m}{r}} \right)_+, L \right], \quad m \geq 1. \tag{1.2}$$

This infinite system of evolution equations for L (namely the coefficients $u_\alpha(x; t_1, \ldots)$) is well–known to be *compatible* [8]. Here the numbers α_m are defined by

$$\alpha_{p+qr} = \frac{1}{(p+qr)!_{(r)}!}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r,\, q \geq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and x and $(p+qr)!_{(r)}!$ is the r_{th} generalized double factorial defined by

$$(p+qr)!_{(r)}! := (p+qr) \cdot (p+(q-1)r) \cdot \ldots \cdot p \tag{1.4}$$

which reduces to the usual double factorial in the case $r = 2$; the following convention is used

$$0!_{(r)}! = (-1)!_{(r)}! = \ldots = (-(r-1))!_{(r)}! := 1. \tag{1.5}$$

The $m = 1$ equation in (1.2) reads $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_1} = [\partial, L]$, which means that t_1 simply acts by translations in the variable x; therefore we can (and will) identify t_1 and x throughout the paper. Introduce the notations:

$$t = (t_1, \ldots, t_{r-1}, t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_{2r-1}, \ldots), \quad t_{KP} = (t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots). \tag{1.6}$$

In other words, the infinite vector t is obtained from t_{KP} by removing the variables with index which is a multiple of r. Note that if m is a multiple of r, then the r.h.s. of (1.2) vanishes[1] which implies that the operator L and hence the functions $u_\alpha(t)$ depend only on the reduced set of variables t (as opposed to the *extended* set t_{KP}):

$$u_\alpha = u_\alpha(t), \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, r-1. \tag{1.7}$$

Consider now the flows of the usual wave vector of the r–reduced KP hierarchy (1.2), but allow them to depend also on the "trivial" flow variables $t_{rp}, p \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_m} = \alpha_m \left(L^{\frac{m}{r}} \right)_+ \Psi, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{1.8}$$

The compatibility of the equations (1.2) , (1.8) is a standard result (see for example [8]):

$$\partial_{t_m} \partial_{t_n} L = \partial_{t_n} \partial_{t_m} L, \qquad \partial_{t_m} \partial_{t_n} \Psi = \partial_{t_n} \partial_{t_m} \Psi, \quad \forall\, m, n \geq 1. \tag{1.9}$$

So equations (1.2) and equations (1.8) together form an integrable system.

Definition 1.1 *The infinite system of compatible equations (1.2), (1.8) is called the* extended r-reduced *KP hierarchy.*

The case $r = 2$, coincides with the extended open KdV hierarchy [5, 6, 17]. We point out that we are not imposing at this stage an additional spectral equation.

In this paper, we are going to introduce (in Theorem 1.2 below) a particular solution of the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy of Def. 1.1 that satisfies a certain additional constraint in the form of the **string equation**. It turns out that this solution is closely related to a pair of adjoint ODE problems that are closely related to the Pearcey equation (see (3.29), (3.30)); the approach also should shed light on certain objects that have appeared in the case $r = 2$ in [5].

[1] Indeed in this case the r.h.s is the commutator of L with an *integer* power of L itself.

Frobenius manifolds. Recall now that the Frobenius manifold corresponding to the r-reduced KP hierarchy is the space of miniversal deformations of a simple singularity of type A_{r-1}. Let $Z(t)$ denote the partition function [1, 10] of this Frobenius manifold. It is a particular tau function of the r-reduced KP hierarchy (1.2) which is uniquely determined (up to a multplicative constant) by the celebrated *string equation* [1]

$$L_{-1}Z(t) = 0, \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$L_{-1} := \sum_{p\geq r+1, r\nmid p} t_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{p-r}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} t_k t_{r-k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}. \tag{1.11}$$

It can also be uniquely determined by using *only* the $\mathcal{W}_{A_{r-1}}$-constraints [1, 3, 16]. According to Witten's r-spin conjecture [19], which was proved by Faber, Shadrin and Zvonkine in [11] and in a more general setting by Fan, Jarvis and Ruan in [12], $\log Z$ is also the generating function of intersection numbers over certain moduli space of r-spin structures of type A.

Our goal is to produce a solution of the extended r–reduced KP hierarchy which generalizes the above (see Theorem 1.2) and the result of [6]. To this end and to introduce the necessary objects, let us denote by $\psi(t_{KP}; z)$ the following wave function associated to $Z(t)$

$$\psi(t_{KP}; z) = \frac{Z(t - [z^{-1}])}{Z(t)}\, e^{\xi(t_{KP}; z)} \tag{1.12}$$

where we have used the shorthand notations

$$(t_{KP} - [z^{-1}]) := \left(t_1 - \frac{m_1}{z}, t_2 - \frac{m_2}{z^2}, \dots\right), \tag{1.13}$$

$$\xi(t_{KP}; z) := \sum_{k\geq 1} \alpha_k t_k z^k, \tag{1.14}$$

$$m_{p+qr} := (p + (q-1)r)!_{(r)}!, \quad 1 \leq p \leq r-1,\ q \geq 0. \tag{1.15}$$

The unwieldy normalizations of the time flows are chosen to simplify the comparison with existing results later on and it is also dictated by the application to the underlying geometric invariants. The wave function $\psi(t_{KP}; z)$ is the ordinary wave function *with the spectral parameter* z, namely it satisfies

$$L\psi(t_{KP}; z) = z^r \psi(t_{KP}; z). \tag{1.16}$$

While L is *independent* of the flows $t_p, t_{2p}, t_{3p}, \dots$, the wave function ψ *does depend* on them, albeit in a trivial exponential way as dictated by (1.12). In order to emphasize this additional dependence we have used the subscript t_{KP} to indicate the dependence on the complete set of KP flows.

Now we are ready to state the result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $\omega = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}$ *and set*

$$D(z;\Gamma) = -\frac{i\omega^{\frac{r+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \int_{\omega^{-1}\Gamma} w^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{w^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} + \frac{w}{r} z^r\right] dw \tag{1.17}$$

where $\Gamma = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}\mathbb{R}_+$ *(traversed in the upward direction). It has the following asymptotic expansion*

$$D(z;\Gamma) \sim 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{z^{(r+1)k}} =: d(z), \quad z \to \infty,\ z \in S' \tag{1.18}$$

where $S' = \{-\frac{\pi}{r} - \frac{\pi}{r+1} < arg(z) < \frac{\pi}{r} - \frac{\pi}{r+1}\}$ *and* d_k *are constants, and the contour has zero index number relative to* $w = 0$*. Introduce the following function*

$$\Psi(t_{KP}) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} d(z)\, \psi(t_{KP}; z)\, \frac{dz}{z} \tag{1.19}$$

where the formal series $d(z)$ *is given in (1.18). Define also the* partition function *of the extended* r*–reduced KP hierarchy by the formula*

$$Z_E(t_{KP}) := Z(t)\, \Psi(t_{KP}) \tag{1.20}$$

where $Z(t)$ *is the partition function of the* A_{r-1} *Frobenius manifold satisfying the string equation (1.10). Then we have*

$$L_{-1}^{ext}\, Z_E(t_{KP}) = 0, \tag{1.21}$$

$$\Psi(t_{KP})|_{t_{KP\geq 2}=0} \equiv 1 \quad (\forall\, t_1) \tag{1.22}$$

where the operator L_{-1}^{ext} *is defined by*

$$L_{-1}^{ext} = \sum_{p\geq r+1} t_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{p-r}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} t_k t_{r-k} + t_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}. \tag{1.23}$$

Furthermore, the solution $\Psi(t_{KP})$ *of (1.8) and such that* $Z_E(t_{KP}) := Z(t)\cdot\Psi(t_{KP})$ *solves (1.21) is unique up to a multiplicative constant.*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review the tau function of the (r-reduced) KP hierarchy and the associated wave function and dual wave function. In Section 3, by applying the string actions we study some properties of the wave function and the dual wave function associated to the partition function of the r-spin structures of type A. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Tau function and wave functions of the r-reduced KP hierarchy

Let us consider solutions to the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy (1.2),(1.8). It is easy to see that equations (1.2) and (1.8) are decoupled: we can first solve (1.2) and then solve (1.8). Equations (1.2) have a class of well-known solutions corresponding to infinite Grassmannians.

Let $u_1(t), ..., u_{r-1}(t)$ be any Grassmannian solution to the r-reduced KP hierarchy. It is known that there exists a so-called Sato tau function $\tau(t_{KP})$ of this solution, which satisfies the bilinear identities

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z=\infty} e^{\xi(t_{KP}-t'_{KP};z)}\, \tau(t_{KP} - [z^{-1}])\, \tau(t'_{KP} + [z^{-1}])\, dz = 0, \quad \forall\, t_{KP}, t'_{KP}. \tag{2.1}$$

where $\xi(t_{KP}-t'_{KP};z)$ and $t_{KP}-[z^{-1}]$, $t_{KP}+[z^{-1}]$ are defined as in (1.14), (1.13). The tau function τ is unique up to a factor of form

$$\exp\left\{\sum_{k\geq 1} c_k t_k + c_0\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

where c_i, are arbitrary constants.

Substituting the solution $u_1(t),...,u_{r-1}(t)$ into equations (1.8) we get a set of evolutionary linear PDEs for Ψ. To solve them, let us introduce an auxiliary problem

$$L\psi(t_{KP};z) = z^r\psi(t_{KP};z). \tag{2.3}$$

Together with the original PDEs (1.8)

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t_m}(t_{KP};z) = \alpha_m\left(L^{\frac{m}{r}}\right)_+\psi(z;t_{KP}), \quad m\geq 1 \tag{2.4}$$

$\psi(t_{KP};z)$ becomes the wave function of the solution with the spectral parameter z (also called the Baker–Akhiezer function). Without loss of generality, we assume it is normalized in the following way

$$\psi(t_{KP};z)\sim\left(1+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1})\right)e^{\xi(t_{KP};z)}, \qquad z\to\infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Note that the wave function is unique up to an arbitrary asymptotic series $g(z)$ satisfying

$$g(z)\sim 1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{g_k}{z^k}, \quad z\to\infty \tag{2.6}$$

where g_k, $k\geq 1$ are constants. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.1 *([7, 8]) For any Grassmannian solution $u_1(t),...,u_{r-1}(t)$ of the r-reduced KP hierarchy, let $\tau(t_{KP})$ be any tau-function of this solution. Then*

$$\psi(t_{KP};z) = \frac{\tau(t_{KP}-[z^{-1}])}{\tau(t_{KP})}e^{\xi(t_{KP};z)}. \tag{2.7}$$

is a wave function of the solution.

Similarly to the above construction, let us also recall the *dual* wave function $\psi^\star(t_{KP};z)$ of a solution $u(t)$. It satisfies the following linear system:

$$L^\star\psi^* = z^r\psi^*, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi^*}{\partial t_m} = -\alpha_m\left(L^{m/r}\right)^\star_+\psi^*. \tag{2.9}$$

Here $\star$ denotes the formal adjoint operator. The dual wave function is also normalized such that

$$\psi^*(t_{KP};z) = \left(1+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1})\right)e^{-\xi(t_{KP};z)}, \qquad z\to\infty. \tag{2.10}$$

In terms of the tau function it is expressed by

$$\psi^*(t_{KP};z) := \frac{\tau(t_{KP}+[z^{-1}])}{\tau(t_{KP})} e^{-\xi(t_{KP};z)}. \tag{2.11}$$

The bilinear identities (2.1) read as follows

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z=\infty} \psi(t_{KP};z)\,\psi^*(t'_{KP};z)\,dz = 0, \quad \forall\, t_{KP}, t'_{KP}. \tag{2.12}$$

Now we take a tau function $\tau(t)$ of the solution $u_1(t),...,u_{t-1}(t)$ without dependence in t_{rp}, $p \geq 1$, and take $g(z)$ any asymptotic series of form (2.6). Then solutions of equations (1.8) are given by

$$\Psi(t_{KP}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z=\infty} g(z)\,\frac{\tau(t-[z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} e^{\xi(t_{KP};z)}\,\frac{dz}{z}. \tag{2.13}$$

Inspired by Buryak [6] we call

$$\tau_E(t_{KP}) := \tau(t)\,\Psi(t_{KP}) \tag{2.14}$$

a tau function of the solution $(u_1(t),...,u_{r-1}(t),\Psi(t_{KP}))$ of the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy.

It is well-known that the r-reduced KP hierarchy is Miura equivalent to Dubrovin-Zhang's integrable hierarchy of topological type associated to the A_{r-1} Frobenius manifold. So we can write the hierarchy (1.2) by using the *normal coordinates* $w_1,...,w_{r-1}$ [10, 9]

$$\frac{\partial w_\alpha}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} = \eta_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_x \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\delta w_\gamma(x)}, \quad q \geq 0 \tag{2.15}$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\,r}$, $\frac{\delta}{\delta w_\gamma}$ denote the variational derivatives, $\bar{h}_{\beta,q} = \int h_{\beta,q}\,dx$ are Hamiltonians whose densities $h_{\beta,q}$ satisfy the tau-symmetry property

$$h_{\alpha,-1} = w_\alpha, \quad \frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-1}}{\partial t^{\alpha,q}} = \frac{\partial h_{\beta,q-1}}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}}, \quad p,q \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

In these notations, $t^{\alpha,p} = t_{\alpha+pr}$, $p \geq 0$. Here and below free Greek indices always take values $1,...,r-1$, the Einstein summation convention for repeated Greek indices with one-up and one-down is always assumed, and we use $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and its inverse $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ to lower and raise Greek indices. For example, $w^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\beta}w_\beta$.

The tau symmetry property allows us to define two-point functions [10] $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ for an arbitrary solution $(w_1(t),...,w_{r-1}(t))$

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(w;w_x,w_{xx},...) = \partial_x^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-1}}{\partial t^{\alpha,q}}\right), \quad p,q \geq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

It also implies the existence of a tau function τ of the solution such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}\partial t^{\beta,q}} = \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(w(t);w_x(t),w_{xx}(t),...). \tag{2.18}$$

We call this tau function the *Dubrovin-Zhang tau function* associated to the A_{r-1} Frobenius manifold. Note that $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ are differential polynomials in w, and that $\log\tau$ admits the genus expansion

$$\log\tau = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_g =: \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_g$ are genus g free energies and $\mathcal{F}$ is the free energy. In the particular example of the r-reduced KP hierarchy (1.2) that we are considering, the normal coordinates w_α as well as the Hamiltonian densities $h_{\alpha,p}$ are given by [7, 10]

$$w_\alpha = \frac{h_\alpha}{\alpha!_{(r)}!}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$h_{\alpha,\,p} = \frac{h_{\alpha+(p+1)r}}{(\alpha+(p+1)r)!_{(r)}!} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$h_k := Res\, L^{\frac{k}{r}}, \qquad k \geq 1. \tag{2.22}$$

In the above formula, *Res* means taking the coefficient of ∂^{-1}.

For Grassmannian solutions, the Sato tau function and the Dubrovin-Zhang tau function coincide [7, 10] modulo an arbitrary factor of form (2.2).

3 Wave potentials, string actions and Pearcey integrals

Recall that the partition function $Z(t)$ associated to the A_{r-1} Frobenius manifold is a particular tau function of the r-reduced KP hierarchy. Up to a multiplicative constant, this tau function is uniquely specified by the string equation

$$L_{-1}\, Z = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where L_{-1} is defined in (1.11). Write

$$\langle \tau_{k_1} ... \tau_{k_m} \rangle := \frac{\partial^m \log Z}{\partial t_{k_1} ... \partial t_{k_m}}\Big|_{t=0}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then according to [11, 16], we know that $\langle \tau_{k_1} ... \tau_{k_m} \rangle$ vanishes unless there exists a non-negative number g such that

$$\frac{k_1}{r} + ... + \frac{k_m}{r} = m + \left(\frac{r-2}{r} - 3\right)(1-g). \tag{3.3}$$

This implies for $r \geq 3$, $Z(x, 0, 0, ...) = constant$. As usual we normalize this constant to be 1. For $r = 2$, it is known that $Z(x, 0, 0, ...) = \exp\left(x^3/6\right)$.

We denote by $u_1(t), ..., u_{r-1}(t)$ the solution to the r-reduced KP hierarchy associated with the tau function $Z(t)$, in normal coordinates by $w_1(t), ..., w_{r-1}(t)$. The string equation (3.1) implies of the initial data

$$w_\alpha|_{t_{\geq 2}=0} = \frac{\partial^2 \log Z}{\partial t_1 \partial t_\alpha}\Big|_{t_{\geq 2}=0} = \delta_{\alpha,\,r-1} \cdot x, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., r-1. \tag{3.4}$$

Here $\delta_{\alpha,\,r-1}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

Lemma 3.1 *The Miura transformation relating u to w is triangular and of form*

$$w_\alpha = \frac{1}{r}\, u_\alpha + M_\alpha(u_1, ..., u_{\alpha-1}), \tag{3.5}$$

where M_α are differential polynomials in $u_1, ..., u_{\alpha-1}$.

Proof Let us introduce a grading on pseudo-differential operators:

$$\deg u_\alpha^{(k)} := \alpha + 1 + k, \quad \deg \partial^m := m, \qquad k \geq 0,\, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then we find that L and $L^{\frac{1}{r}}$ are homogeneous operators with degree

$$\deg L = r, \quad \deg L^{\frac{1}{r}} = 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Recall due to (2.20), (2.22) that

$$w_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha!_{(r)}!} Res L^{\frac{\alpha}{r}}. \tag{3.8}$$

So we have

$$\deg w_\alpha = \alpha + 1. \tag{3.9}$$

The leading term $\frac{1}{r}u_\alpha$ is an easy exercise: one can replace in L the operator ∂ with a parameter λ and take the usual residue at $\lambda = \infty$. The lemma is proved. □

The above Lemma implies

$$u_\alpha|_{t_{\geq 2}=0} = \frac{1}{r}\, \delta_{\alpha,r-1} \cdot x, \quad \alpha = 1, ..., r-1. \tag{3.10}$$

In particular, we have

Lemma 3.2 *The following initial values hold*

$$u_\alpha|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Denote by $\psi(t_{KP}; z)$ and $\psi^*(t_{KP}; z)$ the wave and the dual wave functions associated to $Z(t)$, respectively:

$$\psi(t_{KP}; z) = \frac{Z(t - [z^{-1}])}{Z(t)} e^{\xi(t_{KP}; z)}, \quad \psi^*(t_{KP}; z) = \frac{Z(t + [z^{-1}])}{Z(t)} e^{-\xi(t_{KP}; z)}, \tag{3.12}$$

and introduce the following notations

$$K(t_{KP}; z) := Z(t) \cdot \psi(t_{KP}; z), \qquad K^*(t_{KP}; z) := Z(t) \cdot \psi^*(t_{KP}; z), \tag{3.13}$$

$$f(x; z) := \psi(t_{KP}; z)|_{t_{\geq 2}=0}, \qquad f^*(x; z) := \psi^*(t_{KP}; z)|_{t_{\geq 2}=0}. \tag{3.14}$$

We call $K(t_{KP}; z)$ and $K^*(t_{KP}; z)$ the *wave potential* and the *dual wave potential* associated to the partition function $Z(t)$. Let us consider the string actions on them.

Proposition 3.3 *The following equalities hold true:*

$$L_{-1}^{ext}K(t_{KP};z) = S_zK(t_{KP};z), \tag{3.15}$$
$$L_{-1}^{ext,*}K^*(t_{KP};z) = -S_z^\star K^*(t_{KP};z). \tag{3.16}$$

Here S_z is defined by

$$S_z = z^{-(r-1)/2}\circ\partial_z\circ z^{-(r-1)/2} - z = \frac{1}{z^{r-1}}\partial_z - \frac{r-1}{2\,z^r} - z \tag{3.17}$$

and $S_z^\star$ is the formal adjoint operator of S_z which has the form

$$S_z^\star = z^{-(r-1)/2}\circ\partial_z^\star\circ z^{-(r-1)/2} - z = -\frac{1}{z^{r-1}}\partial_z + \frac{r-1}{2\,z^r} - z, \tag{3.18}$$

and L_{-1}^{ext}, $L_{-1}^{ext,*}$ are defined by

$$L_{-1}^{ext} = \sum_{p\geq r+1} t_p\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{p-r}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} t_kt_{r-k} + t_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$L_{-1}^{ext,*} = \sum_{p\geq r+1} t_p\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{p-r}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} t_kt_{r-k} - t_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof On one hand, by using the string equation (3.1) we find

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1}^{ext}K(t_{KP};z) = &\sum_{p\geq r+1}\frac{m_p}{z^p}\frac{\partial Z(t-[z^{-1}])}{\partial t_{p-r}}e^{\xi(t_{KP};z)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{r-1}{z^r}K(t_{KP};z) - zK(t_{KP};z)\\ &+\sum_{p\geq r+1}\alpha_{p-r}t_pz^{p-r}K(t_{KP};z) + \sum_{p=1}^{r-1}m_{r-p}\,t_pz^{p-r}K(t_{KP};z) + t_rK(t_{KP};z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

On another hand from the chain rule it follows that

$$\frac{1}{z^{r-1}}\partial_zK(t_{KP};z) = \sum_{p\geq r+1}(p-r)\frac{m_{p-r}}{z^p}\frac{\partial Z(t-[z^{-1}])}{\partial t_{p-r}}e^{\xi(t_{KP};z)} + \sum_{p\geq 1}p\,\alpha_p\,t_pz^{p-r}K(t_{KP};z). \tag{3.22}$$

Comparing the above two equalities we have

$$L_{-1}^{ext}K(t_{KP};z) = \frac{1}{z^{r-1}}\partial_zK(t_{KP};z) - \frac{r-1}{2\,z^r}K(t_{KP};z) - zK(t_{KP};z) = S_zK(t_{KP};z). \tag{3.23}$$

In a similar way we can prove (3.16). The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.4 *Let f, f^* as in (3.14). We have for any $k\geq 0$,*

$$\partial_x^kf(x;z) = (-1)^kS_z^kf(x;z), \tag{3.24}$$
$$\partial_x^kf^*(x;z) = (S_z^\star)^k\,f^*(x;z). \tag{3.25}$$

Proof Note that in the case $r=2$ we have $Z(x,0,0,...)=\exp\left(\frac{x^3}{6}\right)$ and that in the case $r\geq 3$ we have $Z(t_1,0,0,...)=1$. Taking $t_2=t_3=....=0$ in both sides of (3.15), we find

$$f_x+S_z f=0 \tag{3.26}$$

which implies (3.24). Similarly, taking $t_2=t_3=...=0$ in both sides of (3.16), we obtain (3.25). □

Lemma 3.5 *Denote $A(z)=f(0;z)$ and $A^*(z)=f^*(0;z)$. Applying the Taylor expansion and Lemma 3.4 we have*

$$f(x;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}S_z^n A(z)\,x^n, \tag{3.27}$$

$$f^*(x;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}S_z^{\star n} A^*(z)\,x^n. \tag{3.28}$$

Lemma 3.6 *The functions $A(z), A^*(z)$ satisfy the following ODEs:*

$$S_z^r A(z) = (-z)^r A(z), \tag{3.29}$$

$$S_z^{\star r} A^*(z) = (-z)^r A^*(z). \tag{3.30}$$

Proof Recall that the Lax equations for $\psi(t_{KP};z)$ and $\psi^*(t_{KP};z)$ read

$$L\psi=z^r\psi, \qquad L^\star\psi^*=z^r\psi^*. \tag{3.31}$$

Taking $t=0$ in these two equations and using the initial data (3.11) we have

$$\partial_x^r f(x;z)|_{x=0}=z^r f(0;z), \qquad (-1)^r f^*(x;z)|_{x=0}=z^r f(0;z). \tag{3.32}$$

Finally by employing Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$(-1)^r S_z^r f(x;z)|_{x=0}=z^r f(0;z), \qquad (-1)^r S_z^{*r} f^*(x;z)|_{x=0}=z^r f^*(0;z). \tag{3.33}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Note that (2.5), (2.10) require the (formal) boundary behaviour

$$A(z) = 1+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \qquad z\to\infty, \tag{3.34}$$

$$A^*(z) = 1+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \qquad z\to\infty. \tag{3.35}$$

In order to solve the ODEs (3.29), (3.30) let us introduce a change of variable $\eta=z^r$ and let

$$H(\eta)=\eta^{\frac{r-1}{2r}}\exp\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\eta^{\frac{r+1}{r}}\right)=z^{\frac{r-1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right). \tag{3.36}$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{H}\circ S_z\circ H = r\,\partial_\eta, \tag{3.37}$$

which allows to transform immediately the ODEs (3.29), (3.30) in a pair of adjoint Pearcey equations. Indeed, let us write $A(z) = H(\eta) \cdot \ell(\eta)$: the above ODE (3.29) becomes

$$(r\partial_\eta)^r \ell(\eta) = (-1)^r \eta\, \ell(\eta). \tag{3.38}$$

Its solutions are well known and are given in the form of a Fourier-Laplace integral representation

$$\ell(\eta) = C_1 \int_\Gamma \exp\left(\frac{w^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} - \frac{w}{r}\eta\right) dw \tag{3.39}$$

where the contour Γ is any contour extending to infinity along two different asymptotic directions in the sectors where $\Re(w^{r+1})$ tends to $-\infty$, and C_1 is a constant. Applying a formal saddle point method to meet the condition (3.34), we obtain

$$A(z;\Gamma) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} z^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \int_\Gamma \exp\left[\frac{w^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} - \frac{w}{r} z^r\right] dw \tag{3.40}$$

where the contour Γ is any contour extending to infinity along two different asymptotic directions $\mathcal{R}_j := \mathbb{R}_+ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1} + \frac{2i\pi}{r+1} j}$, $j \equiv 0, \dots, r \bmod (r+1)$. The integrals appearing in (3.40) (in the variable $\xi = z^r$) are famously known as *Pearcey* integrals and generalize the usual integral representation of Airy functions. A relatively straightforward steepest descent analysis shows that if Γ is the contour originating at ∞ along $\mathcal{R}_{-1}$ and ending at ∞ along $\mathcal{R}_0$ then the function has the asymptotic expansion

$$A(z;\Gamma) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/z), \quad |z| \to \infty,\ z \in S_\Gamma, \tag{3.41}$$

where $S_\Gamma = \{|arg(z)| < \frac{\pi}{r}\}$. More precisely the expansion contains only powers of z^{-r-1} as stated in the following lemma (whose proof is straightforward and thus omitted).

Lemma 3.7 *$A(z;\Gamma)$ admits the following asymptotic*

$$A(z;\Gamma) \sim 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{z^{(r+1)k}}, \qquad z \to \infty,\ z \in S_\Gamma, \tag{3.42}$$

where a_k are constants.

Below we denote by $a(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{z^{(r+1)k}}$ the formal asymptotic series of $A(z;\Gamma)$.

Lemma 3.8 *With $\omega = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}$, we have*

$$S_z^\star = \omega^{-1} S_{\omega z}. \tag{3.43}$$

Proof

$$S_{\omega z} = \frac{1}{\omega^r\, z^{r-1}} \partial_z - \frac{r-1}{2\,\omega^r\, z^r} - \omega z = \omega \left(-\frac{1}{z^{r-1}} \partial_z + \frac{r-1}{2\, z^r} - z\right) = \omega\, S_z^\star. \tag{3.44}$$

□

Lemma (3.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(z;\Gamma) &= A(\omega z;\Gamma) \\ &= \frac{i\omega^{\frac{r+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} z^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \int_{\omega^{-1}\Gamma} \exp\left[\frac{-s^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} + \frac{s}{r} z^r\right] ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

which satisfies

$$A^*(z;\Gamma) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \qquad z \to \infty,\ z \in \omega S_\Gamma. \tag{3.46}$$

We recall now that there exists a natural bilinear pairing between solutions of two adjoint equations which is called the **bilinear concomitant**. In the present case, solutions of the two equations can be represented in terms of Fourier–Laplace integrals along two relatively dual bases in a certain homology of contours and the bilinear concomitant is simply a representation of the intersection pairing. For more details (and in more general terms) see [4], Sect. 3. Let us call

$$B(z;\hat{\Gamma}) = C z^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \int_{\hat{\Gamma}} \exp\left[\frac{-s^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} + \frac{s}{r} z^r\right] ds, \tag{3.47}$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}$ spans the dual homology of the contours spanned by Γ's. The concomitant reads:

$$\mathcal{B}(A(z;\Gamma), B(z;\hat{\Gamma})) = \int_{\Gamma\times\hat{\Gamma}} ds\, dw \frac{w^r - s^r}{w-s} \exp\left[\frac{w^{r+1} - s^{r+1}}{r(r+1)} - \frac{w-s}{r}\eta\right]. \tag{3.48}$$

Here $\eta = z^r$. The result of this integral is the intersection number of Γ and $\hat{\Gamma}$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma\times\hat{\Gamma}} ds\, dw \frac{w^r - s^r}{w-s} \exp\left[\frac{w^{r+1} - s^{r+1}}{r(r+1)} - \frac{w-s}{r}\xi\right] \\ &= \int_{\Gamma\times\hat{\Gamma}} ds\, dw\, (\partial_s + \partial_w) \frac{\exp\left[\frac{w^{r+1}-s^{r+1}}{r(r+1)} - \frac{w-s}{r}\xi\right]}{w-s} = 2i\pi\, link(\Gamma,\hat{\Gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

The bilinear concomitant (3.48) can equivalently be written in terms of a bilinear expression in the derivatives of the functions $A(z;\Gamma)$ and $B(z;\hat{\Gamma})$ by expanding $\frac{w^r - s^r}{w-s} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} w^j s^{r-1-j}$ and thus giving the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9 *The following identities hold true:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} S_z^{\star r-1-k}\left(A(\omega z;\hat{\Gamma})\right) S_z^k A(z;\Gamma) = link(\Gamma,\hat{\Gamma})\cdot z^{r-1}, \quad z \in S_\Gamma. \tag{3.50}$$

See Sect. 3 of [4].

We remark that the analytic function $A(z;\Gamma)$ that we have defined has different asymptotic behaviours at ∞ as the sector changes. This is called the Stokes phenomenon.

To end this section, let us solve the following ODE problem which will be useful for the next section:

$$-S_z^\star\left(\frac{D(z)}{z}\right) = A(\omega z) \tag{3.51}$$

with the (formal) asymptotic boundary requirement

$$D(z) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \qquad z \to \infty. \tag{3.52}$$

Using the representation (3.37) for the differential operator, it is immediate to verify that

$$D(z;\Gamma) = -\frac{i\omega^{\frac{r+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right) \int_{\omega^{-1}\Gamma} w^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{w^{r+1}}{(r+1)r} + \frac{w}{r} z^r\right] dw. \tag{3.53}$$

(which is (1.17)) indeed solves the equation. Of course the equation (3.51) only determines the solution up to addition of the complementary solution of the associated homogeneous equation. This arbitrariness is reflected in the choice of the contour in (3.53); indeed the integrand has a simple pole at $w = 0$ and thus it is important to specify the index of the contour of integration relative to $w = 0$. Now, the steepest descent analysis shows that (3.53) has the behaviour (3.52) *provided* that the contour can be retracted onto the steepest descent path of the exponential phase. The steepest descent path clearly recedes to infinity (the principal saddle point is at $w = z$). Thus the contour must have index 0 relative to $w = 0$.

Remark 3.10 *Another simple argument to determine the index of the integration contour relative to $w = 0$ is that if the contour in (3.53) has index 1, then retracting the contour to the saddle yields the residue at $w = 0$, which adds a multiple of $\sqrt{2\pi} z^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^{r+1}}{r+1}\right)$ (the complementary solution), which has necessarily an exponential growth within the sector S_Γ, and thus violates the boundary condition (3.52).*

Applying the saddle point method one can obtain

Lemma 3.11 *$D(z;\Gamma)$ admits the following asymptotic*

$$D(z;\Gamma) \sim d(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{z^{(r+1)k}}, \quad z \to \infty,\ z \in \omega^{-1} S_\Gamma. \tag{3.54}$$

4 A particular solution to the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy

In this section we finally prove Theorem (1.2). Let us further introduce some useful notations and lemmas.

Notations Denote the vector space of formal series with finitely many terms with positive powers by:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq -m} \frac{b_n}{z^n} \,\middle|\, m \in \mathbb{Z},\ b_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

For any $r \geq 2$, let $\theta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r+1}}$ and let

$$\mathcal{A}^{(k)} := \left\{ b(z) \in \mathcal{A} \,\middle|\, b(\theta z) = \theta^k\, b(z) \right\},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.2}$$

We also use subscripts to denote the leading order term, for example

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{(0)} = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{b_n}{z^{n(r+1)}} \,\middle|\, b_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\},\ \mathcal{A}_{3z^m}^{(1)} = \left\{ 3z^m + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{b_n}{z^{n(r+1)-m}} \,\middle|\, b_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\},\ etc.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}^{(k)} = z^k \mathcal{A}^{(0)},\ \mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \mathcal{A}^{(k+r+1)}, \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.1 *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $S_z \mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \mathcal{A}^{(k-r)},\ S_z^\star \mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \mathcal{A}^{(k-r)}$.*

Proof It suffices to consider the action of S_z on a monomial z^k :

$$S_z z^k = \left(k - \frac{r-1}{2} \right) z^{k-r} - z^{k+1} = z^{k-r} \left(\frac{2k-r+1}{2} - z^{r+1} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Similarly for $S_z^\star$. □

In the last section, we have defined a formal series $a(z)$ as the asymptotic expansion of $A(z;\Gamma)$ in S_Γ.

Lemma 4.2 *$a(z)$ is the unique formal solution in $\mathcal{A}_1$ to the equation*

$$S_z^r\, a(z) = (-z)^r\, a(z). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof The uniqueness follows from the recursive procedure given by the ODE. □

Lemma (4.1) and Lemma (4.2) immediately imply

Lemma 4.3 *For any $n \geq 0$, $S_z^n a(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(-1)^n z^n}^{(n)}$.*

Remark 4.4 *We know from Lemma (3.5) and the above lemmas that the point in Sato's (formal) Grassmannian corresponding to $Z(t)$ is given by*

$$W = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a(z), S_z a(z), S_z^2 a(z), ...\}. \tag{4.5}$$

This is actually well-known for example, in [14] and in [1].

Lemma 4.5 *The following identities hold true:*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} S_z^{\star m} \left(a(\omega z) \right)\, S_z^n a(z)\, dz = 0, \quad \forall\, m, n \geq 0. \tag{4.6}$$

If $b(z) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ satisfies that for all $n \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} b(z)\, S_z^n a(z)\, dz = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

then $b(z) = a(\omega z)$.

Proof Due to Lemma 3.5, the identity (4.6) is nothing but the bilinear identity (2.12) evaluated at $t|_{KP,\geq 2} = t'|_{KP,\geq 2} = 0$. Write

$$b(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{z^k}; \tag{4.8}$$

then $b_1, b_2, b_3, ...$ can be solved recursively from the relations (4.7) by taking $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ respectively. □

Before proceeding further, let us mention that Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 4.2 imply

Lemma 4.6 *The following identities hold true in $\mathcal{A}$:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} S_z^{\star r-1-k}\left(a(\omega z)\right) S_z^k a(z) = (-1)^{r-1} r\, z^{r-1}. \tag{4.9}$$

Remark 4.7 *In the case $r = 2$, the identity appearing in this lemma was observed in [18] and it was used by Buryak [6] to prove the analog of Lemma 4.5 for $r = 2$. We point out here that, in fact, this identity is a simple consequence of a classical object, the bilinear concomitant of adjoint equations, introduced by Legendre [13]!*

Lemma 4.8 *The formal series $d(z)$ defined in the previous section as the asymptotic expansion of $D(z;\Gamma)$ in $\omega^{-1}S_\Gamma$ is the unique formal solution in $\mathcal{A}_1$ to the equation*

$$-S_z^\star\left(\frac{d(z)}{z}\right) = a(\omega z). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof The uniqueness of the solution in $\mathcal{A}_1$ follows from recursive procedure given by the above ODE. □

Example 4.9 *For $r = 2$ we have*

$$a(z) = 1 - \frac{5}{24z^3} + \frac{385}{1152z^6} - \frac{85085}{82944z^9} + \frac{37182145}{7962624z^{12}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-15}), \tag{4.11}$$

$$d(z) = 1 + \frac{41}{24z^3} + \frac{9241}{1152z^6} + \frac{5075225}{82944z^9} + \frac{5153008945}{7962624z^{12}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-15}). \tag{4.12}$$

For $r = 3$ we have

$$a(z) = 1 - \frac{7}{12z^4} + \frac{385}{288z^8} - \frac{39655}{10368z^{12}} + \frac{665665}{497664z^{16}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-20}), \tag{4.13}$$

$$d(z) = 1 + \frac{31}{12z^4} + \frac{4849}{288z^8} + \frac{1785295}{10368z^{12}} + \frac{1200383905}{497664z^{16}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-20}). \tag{4.14}$$

For $r = 4$ we have

$$a(z) = 1 - \frac{9}{8z^5} + \frac{441}{128z^{10}} - \frac{30303}{5120z^{15}} - \frac{25162137}{163840z^{20}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-25}), \tag{4.15}$$

$$d(z) = 1 + \frac{29}{8z^5} + \frac{3921}{128z^{10}} + \frac{1990803}{5120z^{15}} + \frac{1089687543}{163840z^{20}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-25}). \tag{4.16}$$

Theorem 4.10 *Let*

$$Z_E(t_{KP}) = Z(t)\,\Psi(t_{KP}), \tag{4.17}$$

be the partition function of the extended r-reduced KP hierarchy, where $Z(t)$ is the partition function of the A_{r-1} Frobenius manifold and

$$\Psi(t_{KP}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z=\infty} g(z)\,\psi(t_{KP};z)\,\frac{dz}{z} \tag{4.18}$$

with

$$g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{g_k}{z^k}. \tag{4.19}$$

Then there exists a unique sequence of numbers $g_1, g_2, g_3, ...$ such that

$$L_{-1}^{ext} Z_E(t_{KP}) = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

And these numbers are given by

$$g_{(r+1)k+p} = 0 \ (k \geq 0, p = 1, 2, ..., r); \quad g_{(r+1)k} = d_k, \ k \geq 1. \tag{4.21}$$

Proof Let $K(t_{KP}; z)$ denote the wave potential associated to $Z(t)$. Then by definition

$$Z_E(t_{KP}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} g(z) K(t_{KP}; z) \frac{dz}{z}. \tag{4.22}$$

By using Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_{-1}^{ext} Z_E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} g(z) L_{-1}^{ext} K(t_{KP}; z) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} g(z) \, S_z(K(t_{KP}; z)) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \frac{Z(t)}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} g(z) \ S_z(\psi(t_{KP}; z)) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Taking $t_1 = t_2 = ... = 0$ in the above equation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} g(z) \, S_z f(x; z) \frac{dz}{z} = 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Substituting (3.27) in (4.24) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} S_z^\star \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) S_z^n a(z) \, dz \, x^n = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} S_z^\star \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) S_z^n a(z) \, dz = 0, \quad \forall \, n \geq 0. \tag{4.26}$$

Due to Lemma 4.5 and the requirement (4.19), we obtain

$$S_z^\star \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) = -a(\omega z). \tag{4.27}$$

Lemma 4.8 implies

$$g(z) = d(z). \tag{4.28}$$

Now if $g(z) = d(z)$, then we know from Lemma 4.5 that (4.24) holds true. Since the wave function $\psi(t_{KP}; z)$ satisfies the linear evolutionary equations (1.8), we know that (4.24) also implies (4.23). The theorem is proved. □

Proposition 4.11 *The function $\Psi(t_{KP})$ defined by (4.18) with $g(z) = d(z)$ satisfies*

$$\Psi(t_{KP})|_{t_{\geq 2}=0} \equiv 1, \quad \forall \, t_1. \tag{4.29}$$

Proof Reminding the reader that $t_1 = x$, we have for all x

$$\Psi(t_{KP})|_{t_{\geq 2}=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} d(z)\, f(x;z)\, \frac{dz}{z} \tag{4.30}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} d(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} S_z^n a(z)\, x^n\, \frac{dz}{z} = 1. \tag{4.31}$$

The last equality follows from Lemma 4.8 together with Lemma 4.5 for the terms $n \geq 1$, while for $n = 0$ we use the fact that both $d(z)$ and $a(z)$ are of the form $1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-r-1})$. The proposition is proved. □

Theorem (1.2) is then a combination of Theorem (4.10) and Proposition (4.11).

Acknowledgements We thank Ferenc Balogh and Thomas Bothner for helpful discussions. M. B. acknowledges the support of the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* and the *Fonds de recherche du Québec -Nature et technologies*. D. Y. wishes to thank Youjin Zhang and Boris Dubrovin for their advises and helpful discussions. He acknowledges the support of PRIN 2010-11 Grant "Geometric and analytic theory of Hamiltonian systems in finite and infinite dimensions" of Italian Ministry of Universities and Researches, and the support of the Marie Curie IRSES project RIMMP. He also wishes to thank the Centre de Recherches Mathémetiques and the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at Concordia University for generous hospitality, where the manuscript was completed.

References

[1] Adler, M., Van Moerbeke, P. (1992). A matrix integral solution to two-dimensional W_p-gravity. Communications in mathematical physics, **147** (1), 25–56.

[2] Alexandrov, A. (2014). Open intersection numbers, matrix models and MKP hierarchy. arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.1820.

[3] Bakalov, B., Milanov, T. (2013). $\mathcal{W}$-constraints for the total descendant potential of a simple singularity. Compositio Mathematica, **149** (05), 840–888.

[4] Bertola, M. (2007). Biorthogonal polynomials for two-matrix models with semiclassical potentials, J. Approx. Theory, **144** (2), 162–212.

[5] Buryak, A. (2014). Equivalence of the open KdV and the open Virasoro equations for the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with boundary. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.3888.

[6] Buryak, A. (2014). Open intersection numbers and the wave function of the KdV hierarchy. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.7957.

[7] Date, E., Jimbo, M., Kashiwara, M., Miwa, T. (1981). Transformation groups for soliton equations, Proceedings of RIMS.

[8] Dickey, L. A. (2003). Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems (Vol. 26). World Scientific.

[9] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y. (1998). *Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **198**, 311–361.

[10] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y. (2001). Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants. arXiv preprint math/0108160.

[11] Faber, C., Shadrin, S., Zvonkine, D. (2010). Tautological relations and the r-spin Witten conjecture. In Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale suprieure (Vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 621–658). Elsevier.

[12] Fan, H., Jarvis, T., Ruan, Y. (2013). The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory. Ann. of Math.(2), **178**(1), 1–106.

[13] Ince, E. L. (1944). Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover Publications, New York.

[14] Kac, V., Schwarz, A. (1991). Geometric interpretation of the partition function of 2D gravity. Physics letters B, **257 (3)**, 329–334.

[15] Ke, H.-Z. (2014). On a conjectural solution to open KdV and Virasoro. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.7470.

[16] Liu, S.-Q., Yang, D., Zhang, Y. (2013). Uniqueness Theorem of $\mathcal{W}$-Constraints for Simple Singularities. Letters in Mathematical Physics, **103** (12), 1329–1345.

[17] Pandharipande, R., Solomon, J. P., Tessler, R. J. (2014). Intersection theory on moduli of disks, open KdV and Virasoro. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2191.

[18] Pixton, A. (2012). Conjectural relations in the tautological ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.1918.

[19] Witten, E. (1993). Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two-dimensional gravity (pp. 235–269). Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), Publish or Perish, Houston, TX.